G. R. S. App. F. IX-4]

194 8-49

File No. 447-CB

Previous References.

(CB)

Serial Nos. 1

Later References.

SB 112-49-1-2

SB 15-49-1, 2

No. 92-114-52-1

—do— 158-52-1, 2

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. One

✓

Part B for Feb 49.

SUBJECT.

Representation of certain Assistant Commissioners for enhanced pay in the revised grade

No-6369/CB dt. 10.2.49

The Revenue Commissioner's view of the proposal cannot be accepted.

SOR No 12/4

App. F. IX-4

1929-30
(Medical)

File No. 4-29

Serial Nos. 174/18

Previous References
Med. 128-21 (1825

Later References
Med. 188-29 (4411)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 2

Part B for January 1930

SUBJECT

Appointments in the Medical Department

Sl. 18.

No. G. 4411-2 Dt. 21.1.30.

To The Senior Surgeon in Mysore.
Comptr. to Govt.

Records the Senior Surgeon's letter stating that Rao Sahib G. Venkataramanayya, B.A: who passed in the L.M.S. Exmn. of the Madras University was appointed House Physician & posted to Victoria Hospital, Bangalore in a subsistance allowance of Rs. 50/- per mensem.

Dely - 28/1

Serial No.

Letter No. A.1.P.R. 35
4-8-45 & 3.1.45

From

The Revenue Commissioner.

Forwards the representation of certain Asst. Comrs. regarding their initial pay in the revised grade.

The following Asst. Comrs. have been drawing a pay of Rs. 290 p.m. in the old grade of Rs. 250-40/2-450, with effect from the date noted against each:—

1. Sri M. A. S. Rajan ... 10.5.47
2. " N. S. Ramachandra ... 16.4.47
3. " M. D. Sivaraj appa ... 15.4.47
4. " D. J. Balaraj ... 15.4.47
5. " M. Veeraraj urs ... 15.4.47
6. " M. H. Parthasarathy ... 16.4.47
7. " J. C. Hombaliah ... 15.5.47
8. " Jamal Sirajuddin Saheb ... 13.5.47

2. All of these Officers have put in a service of less than 7½ years. Hence they do not get any weightage while fixing their pay in the revised grade of Rs. 300-25-500 Sometimes

Note—Contn. Sheet

Representation of certain Asst. Comrs. regarding their pay in the revised grade.

File No. of 194 .

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No. 'A'

in G.O. dy 4-11-48. But they get half the biennial increment in the old grade. As per this G.O. their pay will have to be at Rs. 300 + Rs. 10 (absorbable) with effect from 1-10-48; as follows:—

Pay on 1-10-48 ..... Rs. 290.

Half of the increment in the old grade .. Rs. 20.

Total Rs. 310.

i.e. Rs. 300 + 10 (absorbable)

3. Now the contention of these officers is that while they get an increase of Rs. 20 p.m. at the inception of the revised grade, they stand to lose Rs. 20 p.m. from April or May 49 upto 1-10-49 and to lose Rs. 5 p.m. from 1-10-49 upto 1-10-1950, if the increment they would have got in the old grade be taken into consideration. But there they stop and do not choose to carry on the comparison any further. Taking the case of one of these officers as an instance, the progressive pay he would get in the old grade

WD 03991—GPB—1,000—29-9-48

Representation of certain Asst. Comrs. regarding their pay in the revised grade.

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. of 194 .

Serial No.

and in the new is shown in tuxla position here under:—

Sri M. D. Sivanayappa – drawing Rs. 290 from 15.4.47

	Pay in the old grade.	Pay in the New grade.	
From 1.10.48 (upto 15.4.49)	Rs. 290.	Rs. 300+10.	Gain @ Rs. 20 p.m. = Rs. 130
From 15.4.49 (upto 1.10.49)	Rs. 330.	Rs. 300+10.	Loss @ Rs. 20 p.m. = Rs. 110
From 1.10.49 (upto 1.10.50)	Rs. 330	Rs. 325.	Loss @ Rs. 5 " = Rs. 60
From 1.10.50 (upto 15.4.51)	Rs. 330.	Rs. 350.	Gain @ Rs. 20 " = Rs. 130
From 15.4.51 (upto 1.10.51)	Rs. 370.	Rs. 350.	Loss @ Rs. 20 " = Rs. 110
From 1.10.51 (to 1.10.52)	Rs. 370.	Rs. 375.	Gain @ Rs. 5 " = Rs. 60
From 1.10.52 (to 15.4.53)	Rs. 370.	Rs. 400.	Gain @ Rs. 30 " = Rs. 195
From 15.4.53 (to 1.10.53)	Rs. 410.	Rs. 400.	Loss @ Rs. 10 " = Rs. 55
From 1.10.53 (to 1.10.54)	Rs. 410.	Rs. 425	Gain @ Rs. 15 " = Rs. 180
From 1.10.54 (to 15.4.55)	Rs. 410.	Rs. 450.	Gain @ Rs. 40 " = Rs. 260
From 15.4.55	Rs. 450	Rs. 450.	At par.

Total Gain Rs. 955.
Total Loss Rs. 335.
Net gain Rs. 620.

4. It may be seen from the above that even if the Comparison is made only upto the date on which they would have reached their maximum in the old grade, they stand to gain by the revised grade. They have stated that the revised grade

Note—Contn. Sheet

representation of certain Asst. Comrs. regarding their pay in the revised grade.

Serial No.

has conferred on them no immediate benefit. But as can be seen from the above comparison, there has been benefit, both immediate and ~~remote~~ ultimate.

5. These officers have represented that their pay may be fixed at Rs. 330 p.m. with effect from 1.10.48 the Rev. Comr. has recommended that their pay may be fixed at Rs. 325 p.m., as against Rs. 300 + Rs. 10 ~~Add~~ admissible as per the G.O. Sanctioning the revision. This is for Orders.

Sms 30/49

6 Government are precluded, technically, from entertaining these representations - vide paragraph 4 of the G.O. sanctioning the revision of pay. The objection, while it is undoubtedly a technical one, has more than the usual force as any consideration shown to these representations is bound to be a precedent for entertaining others and would frustrate the object with which the rule is made. This point therefore requires primary consideration and decision. A

7. Even if this objection were waived, the representations are hardly

Serial No.

7

sustainable as has been shown above and as may be seen in greater detail in the statement* placed in the file showing the pay of these officers in the old grade and the new grade, and the net loss or gain each month from 1.10.48 until they reach the Rs. 450/- stage, the maximum in the old grade, whereafter the scale is continuously upgraded. As will be seen from it, the loss of emoluments in any particular period is fully compensated by corresponding gain immediately earlier or later and the general improvement as a result of the higher rate of the increment, the reduced time scale, and the raising of the maximum of the grade more than outweighs any slight reduction in emoluments temporarily in the intervening period.

8. It may be stated, however, that the revision does not benefit these officers immediately as much as it would in the case of some others, owing to the fact that these officers ~~[illegible]~~ do not get any weightage by way of increments as their total service is less than the minimum entitling them to such benefit. This is perhaps inevitable in any General revision but is in any case one of the reasons ~~[illegible]~~

Representations of certain Assistant Commissioners for enhanced pay in the revised grade.

File No. of 194 . General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

Why the immediate benefit is less than is expected or desired. This aspect of the matter is, however, for consideration and orders in case some relief should be called for.

(sd) 31.1.49

1) The ~~only point~~ statement flagged Z shows the pay the officers in question would fit in the old grade of Rs 250-40½-450 and in the revised grade of Rs 300-25-500 ~~through the revision of the scales of pay does not confer any~~ ~~accrued benefit on these officers~~ It shows the months in which they get more pay in the ~~same~~ new grade than in the old grade and also the months in which they get less pay in the ~~old~~ new grade than in the old grade. As indicated in para 3 of the notes, the revision has on the whole been beneficial to these officers.

10) They, however do not get ~~the~~ any weightage by way increments as they have not put in the minimum period of service required to earn it. This is perhaps inevitable in any revision. The point for consideration

Serial No.

is uncertain this may be taken as a reason to give some relief to the ~~affected~~ ~~officers~~ ~~concerned~~ ~~affected by~~ officers in question. If any relief is proposed to be given, financial concurrence is necessary.

Chief Secy) 31/1/49

11. In favour of remarks.

Fin Secy) 1/2 1/2/49

12). The Statement flagged 'L' is illuminating. It shows that on the whole the Scheme is beneficial to the officers. There are no doubt some months in which they get less in the new than the old grade. But these are far fewer in number than those in which they get more. No revision of pay can undertake that in no month will any officer ever lose anything compared to the old grade. The total effect is to be considered.

Want of weightage owing to lack of years of Service cannot be helped.

In our present financial condition proposals involving extra expenditure are financially unwelcome.

ch. Secy) 2/2/49.

Chief Secy) 3/2 3/2/49

13. Rec 4/2 7/2 7.2.49

CHIEF SECRETARY 702 31 JAN 1949 GOVT. OF MYSORE

GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT U. O. NO. FL. 6148 1 FEB 1949 FINANCIAL SECRETARY. BANGALORE.

CHIEF SECRETARY 720 2 FEB 1949 GOVT. OF MYSORE

Office of the Chief Minister C 1786 Date 4-2-49

CHIEF SECRETARY 80 7 FEB 1949 GOVT. OF MYSORE

Note.—Contd. Sheet

Representation of certain Asst. Comrs.

File No. re: their Pay in the Revised Grade of 194

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No. 3842

14. A draft letter to the Rev. Comr. replying that the proposal is not acceptable is submitted for approval.

Sms 8/2/49

Issue
sd/R.
9/2/49

Issued – No. 6369/CB dt 10/2/49.

To – the Rev. Comr.

WD 0?853—GPS— 30,000—11-5-48

3848
CO

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER
No. A1.P.R.350/48-49. IN MYSORE,
Encls 5 Bangalore, dated the 24th December 1948.
31/48

To

Sri K. Srinivasan, B.Sc.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
BANGALORE.

CHIEF SECRETARY 67 3 JAN 1949 GOVT. OF MYSORE

Sir,

I have the honour to state that the margi-

Sriyuts		-nally noted
1. M.A.S. Rajan.	10-5-1947	Assistant -
2. N.S. Ramachandra	16-4-1947	
3. M.D. Sivananjappa	15-4-1947	Commissioners
4. D.J. Balaraj	15-4-1947	
5. M. Veeraraj Urs	15-4-1947	who are - -

drawing a pay of Rs.290 per mensem with effect - from the date noted against each, have made a -- representation that in the circumstances stated their pay may be fixed at Rs. 330 in the revised grade with effect from 1st October 1948. The - next increment raising their pay from Rs. 290 to 330/- in the old grade would have accrued in - - April 1949 in respect of all these officers except Sri M.A.S. Rajan in whose case it falls due in - May 1949. None of them is eligible to get any weightage under the rules as they have put in -- only 5½ years of service, which thus falls below the limit prescribed in the Government Order of 5th November 1947 to earn one increment. Con--sequently the pay of these officers in the - - revised grade would be fixed as follows with -

effect

effect from 1st October 1948.

Pay on 1st October 1948	Rs. 290
Half of the increment in the old grade as it is over one year + since the last increment was earned.	20
Total	Rs. 310 or 300 + 10 Per month.

Though the initial pay fixed on 1st October 1948 is raised by Rs.20 in respect of these -- Officers, they stand to lose the same amount -- hardly six months after the said date, as they -- would have got Rs.330/- in the old scale of -- Rs. 250-40/2-450. It will be seen therefore -- that these Officers have not fully benefitted in the general revision.

The cases of the marginally noted Officers

Sriyuts		who also get
1. M.H. Parthasarathy	16-4-49	their next --
2. Y.C. Hombalaiah	15-5-49	
3. Sirajuddin Meccai	13-5-49	increment --

raising their pay from Rs.290 to 330 with effect from the date noted therein, stand on a similar footing. In all these cases some relief appears to be necessary.

In the circumstances stated sanction of -- Government may be kindly obtained to the grant of one increment raising their pay to Rs.325/- with effect from 1st October 1948.

The representation of these Officers are -- enclosed for reference.

Yours faithfully,

Revenue Commissioner.

MN)

30/-

Bangalore,
Dated 2nd December 1948.

To

The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore,

BANGALORE.

Through

The Chairman,

City Improvement Trust Board,

BANGALORE.

Sir,

Adverting to G.O.No.F1.4081-4106/S & A 14-48-7 dated 4th November 1948 sanctioning revision of scales of pay of subordinate Gazetted Officers with effect from 1-10-1948, I have the honour to submit the following facts for your kind consideration and favourable recommendation to Government:

I was first recruited to Government service as probationery Assistant Commissioner in the Revenue Department in February 1943 and subsequently confirmed as Assistant Commissioner with effect from 10-5-45. I have also earned an increment raising my pay to Rs.290/- per month with effect from 10-5-47 in the old grade of Rs.250-40/2-450 and would have in the ordinary course drawn Rs.330/- per month in that grade with effect from 10-5-49. In the revised grade my pay will have to be fixed at Rs.300/- plus 10/- (personal allowance absorbable) with effect from 1-10-1948, based on my existing pay of Rs.290/- plus 20/- being half ~~th~~ of the bi-annual increment in the old grade I will be entitled only to Rs.325/- per month with effect from 1-10-1949. I am not entitled to any benefit of weightage as I have not put in 7½ years of service on 1-10-1948, though I will have put in about 5½ years of service on that date. Therefore by this revision although I may be stated to get Rs.20/- extra (Rs.310/- minus Rs.290/-) from 1-10-1948 upto 10-5-49 I actually stand to lose Rs.20/- (Rs.330/- minus Rs.310/-) from 16-4-49 upto 1-10-1949 and

P.T.O.

and thereafter I continue to lose Rs.5/- i.e., Rs.330/- minus Rs.325/- upto 1-10-1950.

Thus in my case the revised grade instead of conferring any immediate benefit, which I understand is the intention of Government in issuing the above order, actually entails a loss as described above. Therefore, I shall be very grateful if my pay in the revised grade is kindly fixed at Rs.330/- per month with effect from 1-10-48 which pay I would normally have got in the old scale itself with effect from 16-4-1949.

Yours faithfully,

M.S.S.Rajan

2-12-48.

2411/48-9

Forwarded with Compliments for favour of Consideration.

C.R. Narasimhe
Chairman
Bij Dayst. Inst Board
Bangalore

6042



To

The Revenue Commissioner
in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Through

The Director of Food Supplies
in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

Adverting to G.O.No.Fl.4081-4106/S & A.14-48-7 Dated 4th November 1948 sanctioning revision of scales of pay of subordinate Gazetted Officers with effect from 1-10-1948, I have the honor to submit the following facts for your kind consideration and favourable recommendation to Government.

I was first recruited to Government service as Probationery Assistant Commissioner in the Revenue Department in April 1943 and subsequently confirmed as Assistant Commissioner with effect from 16-4-1945. I have also earned an increment raising my pay to Rs.290 per month with effect from 16-4-1947 in the old grade of Rs.250-40/2-450 and would have in the ordinary course drawn Rs.330/- per month in that grade with effect from 16-4-49. In the revised grade my pay will have to be fixed at Rs.300/- plus 10/- (personal allowance absorbable) with effect from 1-10-1948. Based on my existing pay of Rs.290/- plus 20/- being half of bi-annual increment in the old grade I will be entitled only to Rs.325/- per month with effect from 1-10-1949. I am not entitled to any benefit of weightage as I have not put in 7½ years of service on 1-10-1948, though I will have put in about 5½ years of service on that date. Therefore by this revision although I may be stated to get Rs.20/- extra (Rs.310 minus 290) from 1-10-1948 upto 16-4-1949, I actually stand to lose Rs.20/- (Rs.330 minus 310) from 16-4-49 upto 1-10-1949 and thereafter I continue to lose Rs.5/- i.e., Rs.330/-

Rs.330/- minus Rs.325/- upto 1-10-1950.

Thus in my case the revised grade instead of conferring any immediate benefit, which I understand is the intention of Government in issuing the above order, actually entails a loss as described above. Therefore, I shall be very grateful if my pay in the revised grade is kindly fixed at Rs. 330/- per month with effect from 1-10-1948 which pay I would normally have got in the old scale itself with effect from 16-4-1949.

Yours faithfully,

N. S. Ramachandra

Assistant Commissioner,
(doing duty as Assistant Director of Food Supplies, Bangalore).

2/12/48

C1 2736/48-49

Forwarded with compliments recommended for favourable consideration.

3.12.48
D. F. S

Bangalore,
Dated 3rd Dec. 1948.

To

The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Through

The Chairman,
Mysore Lamp Works, Ltd.,
Bangalore.

Sir,

Adverting to G.O.No.Fl.4081-4106/S & A 14-48-7 dated 4th No 1948 sanctioning revision of scales of pay of subordinate Gazett Officers with effect from 1-10-1948, I have the honor to submit following facts for your kind consideration and favourable recor mendation to Government.

1. I was first recruited to Government service as probation Assistant Commissioner in the Revenue Department in April 1943 a subsequently confirmed as Assistant Commissioner with effect fro 15-4-45. I have also earned an increment raising my pay to Rs.2 per month with effect from 15-4-47 in the old grade of Rs.250-40/2- and would have in the ordinary course drawn Rs.330/- per month i that grade with effect from 15-4-49. In the revised grade my will have to be fixed at Rs.300/- plus 10/- (personal allowance absorbable) with effect from 1-10-1948, based on my existing pa Rs.290/- plus 20/- being half of the biennial increment in the ol grade and I will be entitled only Rs.325/- per month with effect from 1-10-1949. I am not entitled to any benefit of weightage I have not put in 7½ years of service on 1-10-1948 (it will be a 5½ years of service on that date). Therefore by this revision though I may be stated to get Rs.20/- extra (Rs.310/- minus 290/-) from 1-10-1948 upto 15-4-1949, I actually stand to lose Rs.20/- (Rs.330/- minus Rs.310/-) from 15-4-49 upto 1-10-1949 and thereafte I continue to lose Rs.5/- (Rs.330/- minus Rs.325/- upto 1-10-19

Thus in my case the revised grade instead of conferring any immediate benefit, which I understand is the intention of Governm in issuing the above order, actually entails a loss as stated abo Therefore, I shall be very grateful if my pay in the revised grad is kindly fixed at Rs.330/- per month with effect from 1-10-1948 which pay I would normally have got in the old scale itself with effect from 15-4-1949.

Yours faithfully,

MIR SAFDAR HUSSAIN, B.A.,
Chief Rationing Officer,

Mysore city,
Dated 26th November 48.

No. P.C.58/48-49.

Dear Mr. Srinivasan,

I am sending for your perusal copy of the representation made to me by Mr. Balaraj, regarding his pay in the present revised grade of Assistant Commissioners. He has stated that in the revised grade of Rs.300-25-500, he is to get Rs.300+20/- from 1st October 1948. He does not get any weightage as he has not put in 7½ years' service on 1st October 1948.

If his pay from 1st October 1948 is fixed at Rs.300+20/-, he will be eligible to draw Rs.325/- from 1st October 1948 and Rs.375/- from 1st October 1951, while in the existing grade alone, he can draw Rs.330/- from 15th April 1949 and Rs.370/- from 15th April 1951.

Hence his initial pay being fixed at Rs.300+20/- in the revised grade does not benefit the officer to any extent.

I am of opinion, therefore, that this is a case where his initial pay from 1st October 1948 should be fixed at Rs.325/- being the first stage of the revised grade.

If this requires further orders of Government, I recommend that you may kindly address Government and obtain orders accordingly.

Yours sincerely,

Sd/ Mir Safdar Hussain,

To

Sri V.T.Srinivasan, B.A., B.Sc.,
Comptroller to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

'True Copy'

Mir Safdar Hussain.
Chief Rationing Officer,
Mysore.

7/11

9

M.VEERARAJA URS, B.Sc. (Hons)
Assistant Comptroller.

Office of the Comptroller to the
Government of Mysore,
Dated, 1st December 1948.

D.O. No.246/GAD.

Dear Sir,

In reply to your D.O. letter No. P.C.58/48-49 dated 26th November 1948 forwarding the representation of Sri D.J. Balaraj, B.Sc., Assistant Commissioner, O.O.D. as Rationing Officer, Mysore City, regarding the fixing of his ~~x~~ salary in the revised scales of pay as sanctioned in the Government order dated the 4th November 1948, I am directed by the Comptroller to state that such hard cases will have to be taken up to Government by the concerned Heads of Departments and not by the Audit office. Hence, the above representation may kindly be forwarded to the Revenue Commissioner for needful action.

Yours Sincerely,

Sd/ M.Veeraraja Urs.

To

Janab Mir Safdar Hussain, B.A.,
Chief Rationing Officer,
Mysore.

'True copy'

Chief Rationing Officer,
Mysore.

7/12

Mr. D.J.Balaraj,
Rationing Officer,

Mysore City,
Dated 26th November 1948.

P.C. No.58/48-49.

Dear Sir,

I write to invite your kind reference to Government order No. Fl.4081-4161/S. & A.14-48-7 dated the 4th November 1948 sanctioning the revision of scales of pay of Subordinate Gazetted Officer and to state that I am a permanent Assistant Commissioner, in the Revenue Department, now doing duty as Rationing Officer, Mysore City. I have been confirmed, after two years' probation, with effect from 15th April 1945. I was granted an increment from 15th April 1947 in the existing grade of Rs.250-40/2-450. I am now drawing a pay of Rs.290/- from the 15th April 1947.

As I have not yet put in 7½ years' service as on the 1st October 1948, I am not entitled to get any weightage, as contemplated in the said Government order, and I am entitled only to the minimum salary of Rs.300+20/-, being half the biennial increment in the existing grade. But in the existing grade alone, I will be drawing Rs.330/- from 15th April 1949 and Rs.370/- from 15th April 1951. If my pay is fixed at Rs.300+20/- from 1st October 1948, I will be eligible to draw Rs.325/- from 1st October 1949 and Rs.375/- from 1st October 1951.

Thus in my case, the new grade does not confer any benefit, I therefore request you kindly to recommend to the Comptroller to Government of Mysore for kindly fixing my salary at Rs.325/- in the revised grade from 1st October 1948.

Thanking you,

Yours sincerely,
Sd/ D.J.Balaraj,
Rationing Officer.

To

Mir Safdar Hussain, Esq., B.A.,
Chief Rationing Officer,
Mysore.

'True Copy'

Chief Rationing Officer,
Mysore.

4

TO

The Revenue Commissioner
in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Through

The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

Adverting to the Government Order No.Fl.4081-416/S & A. 14-48-7 dated 4-11-48 sanctioning the Revision of Scales of pay of Subordinate Gazetted Officers with effect from 1-10-1948, I have the honour to bring the following facts for your kind consideration and favourable orders.



2. I was first recruited to Government service as a Probationary Assistant Commissioner in the Revenue Department in April 1943 and was subsequently confirmed as an Assistant Commissioner with effect from 15-4-1945. I also earned an increment raising my pay to Rs.290/- p.m. with effect from 15-4-1947 in the old grade of 250-40/2-450 and would have drawn in the ordinary course Rs.330/- p.m. in that grade with effect from 15-4-1949. But in the revised grade my pay will have to be fixed at Rs.300/- plus Rs.10/- (P.A. absorbable) with effect from 1-10-1948 based on my existing pay of Rs.290/- plus Rs.20/- being half the biennial increment in the old grade and so I will be entitled to draw only Rs.325/- p.m. with effect from 1-10-1949. I am not entitled to any benefit of weightage as I will not have put in 7½ years' service on 1-10-1948 though I will have put in about 5½ years' service on that date. Hence,

by this revision, though I may be said to get Rs.20/- extra (Rs.310 minus Rs.290) from 1-10-1948 up to 15-4-1949, I stand to lose Rs.20/- (Rs.330 minus Rs.310) from 15-4-1949 up to 1-10-1949 and thereafter I continue to lose Rs.5 (Rs.330 minus Rs.325) upto 1-10-1950.

Thus, in my case, the revised grade instead of conferring any immediate benefit which I believe is the intention of Government in issuing the above order, entails actually a loss as stated above. Hence, I request that my pay in the revised grade may be kindly fixed at Rs.330/- p.m. with effect from 1-10-48 which pay I would ordinarily have got in the old scale itself with effect from 15-4-1949.

Yours faithfully.

Moorthy

15

No 6869/CB

10th Feb. 1949

The Revenue Commissioner
in Mysore, BANGALORE.

With reference to your letter No.A.1.P.R.350/48-49 dated 3rd January 1949 recommending sanction to fix the pay of certain Assistant Commissioners therein referred to at Rs.325/- per mensem, in the revised grade from 1st October 1948, I am directed to state that Government regret tha t the proposal cannot be accepted.

Yours faithfully,

10/2/49

For Chief Secretary to Government.

TO

The Rev. Comr

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. A.1.P.R. 350/48-49 dt 3.1.49 recommending sanction to fix the pay of certain Asst. Comrs. therein referred to at Rs. 325/- p.m. in the revised grade from 1.10.1948, I am directed to state that Govt. regret that the proposal cannot be accepted.

Y.F.

9.2.49

Chief Secy.

Sd/- 8/2/49.

Sd/-
8.2.49

Date	Pay in the old grade (250-40½-450)	Pay in the new grade (300-25-500)	+
1.10.48	290	310	+20
1.11.48	290	310	+20
1.12.48	290	310	+20
1.1.49	290	310	+20
1.2.49	290	310	+20
1.3.49	290	310	+20
1.4.49	330	310	-20
1.5.49	330	310	-20
1.6.49	330	310	-20
1.7.49	330	310	-20
1.8.49	330	310	-20
1.9.49	330	325	-5
1.10.49	330	325	-5
1.10.49	330	325	-5
1.11.49	330	325	-5
1.12.49	330	325	-5

1. 1. 50	330	325
1. 1. 50	330	325
1. 2. 50	330	325
1. 3. 50	330	325
1. 4. 50	330	325
1. 5. 50	330	325
1. 6 50	330	325
1. 7. 50	330	325
1. 8. 50	330	325
1. 9. 50	330	350 +20
1. 10. 50	330	350 +20
1. 11. 50	330	350 +20
1. 12. 50	330	350 +20
1. 1. 51	330	350 +20
1. 2. 51	330	350 +20
1. 3. 51	370	350 -20
1. 4. 51	370	350 -20
1. 5. 51	370	350 -20
1. 6. 51		

16 - 5
-5
-5
-5
-5
-5
-5
-5
-5
-5

1.7.51	370	350	
1.8.51	370	350	
1.9.51	370	350	
1.10.51	370	375	+5
1.11.51	370	375	+5
1.12.51	370	375	+5
1.1.52	370	375	+5
1.2.52	370	375	+5
1.3.52	370	375	+5
1.4.52	370	375	+5
1.5.52	370	375	+5
1.6.52	370	375	+5
1.7.52	370	375	+5
1.8.52	370	375	+5
1.9.52	370	400	+30
1.10.52	370	400	+30
1.11.52	370	400	+30
1.12.52	370	400	+30
1.1.53	370	400	+30
1.2.53	370	400	+30
1.3.53	370		

17

-20

-20

-20

1.4.53.	410	400		
1.5.53	410	400		
1.6.53	410	400		
1.7.53	410	400		
1.8.53	410	400		
1.9.53	410	400		
1.10.53	410	425	+15	
1.11.53	410	425	+15	
1.12.53	410	425	+15	
1.1.54	410	425	+15	
1.2.54	410	425	+15	
1.3.54	410	425	+15	
1.4.54	410	425	+10	
1.5.54	410	425	+10	
1.6.54	410	425	+10	
1.7.54	410	425	+10	
1.8.54	410	425	+10	
1.9.54	410	425	+10	
1.10.54	410	450	+40	
1.11.54	410	450	+40	
1.12.54	410	450	+40	
1.1.55	410	450	+40	

-10
-10
-10
-10
-10
-10

1.2.55	410	450	+40	09
1.3.55	410	450	+40	
1.4.55	450 ~~410~~	450	—	—
1.5.55	450	450	—	—
1.6.55	450	450	—	—
1.7.55	450	450	—	—
1.8.55	450	450	—	—
1.9.55	450	475	+25	
1.10.55	450	475	+25	
1.11.55	450	475	+25	
1.12.55	450	475	+25	
1.1.56	450	475	+25	
1.2.56	450	475	+25	
1.3.56	450	475	+25	
1.4.56	450	475	+25	
1.6.56	450	475	+25	
1.7.56	450	475	+25	
1.8.56	450	475	+25	
1.9.56	450	500 ~~475~~	+50	
1.10.56	450			